	Case 3:15-cv-00223-RCJ-VPC Document 1	1 Filed 01/27/16 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7	JOSHUA D. BRODSKY,	
8	Plaintiff,	Case No. 3:15-cv-00223-RCJ-VPC
9	V. (
10	WARDEN BACA, et al.,	ORDER
11	Defendants.	
12	<u> </u>	
13	I. DISCUSSION	
14	On December 3, 2015, the Court issued a Screening Order (ECF No. 8) dismissing	
15	Plaintiff's § 1983 claims, with and without leave to amend. On December 23, 2015, Plaintiff	
16	filed a motion requesting either a six month extension to amend his complaint or voluntary	
17	dismissal of his complaint without prejudice, and that such dismissal not be counted as a	
18	"strike" under the Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"). The Court finds good cause to	
19	dismiss Plaintiff's complaint without prejudice and will grant Plaintiff's motion for voluntary	
20	dismissal.	
21	II. CONCLUSION	
22 23	For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the Court's Screening Order (ECF No.	
	8) is hereby VACATED .	
2425	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion to allow voluntary dismissal (ECF No.	
26	10) is GRANTED .	
27	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the complaint (ECF No. 9) is dismissed in its entirety	
28	without prejudice.	
20		

Case 3:15-cv-00223-RCJ-VPC Document 11 Filed 01/27/16 Page 2 of 2

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this dismissal does not constitute a "strike" under the PLRA. DATED: This 27th day of January, 2016. United States District Judge